

OMSHR

Office of Mine Safety and Health Research



Dust Suppression Hoppers Reduce Airborne Respirable Dust During Bulk Loading

Jay Colinet, Andy Cecala, Jim Noll - NIOSH
Jamie Robinson – Unimin Corporation



Industrial Minerals Technology Workshop
Orlando, FL
March 10, 2015




Presentation outline

- background
- dust suppression hopper (DSH)
- case study #1 - NIOSH
 - test conditions
 - sampling protocol
 - results
- case study #2 – Jamie Robinson
- conclusions

Background

- preparing handbook with IMA-NA
- Chapter 7 – Bulk Loading
- DSH identified in literature search as potential control
 - New Zealand company
 - limited data from Australia
- US sand companies installed units
- conducted case studies to evaluate effectiveness



Dust suppression hopper

- designed to load product in a solid column
 - reduces air in product
 - minimize entrainment of dust
 - eliminates need to raise/lower loading spout
- hopper equipped with plug that prevents discharge until predefined quantity has accumulated
- springs or PLC used to control clearance for discharge



MINERALS & QUARRY PRODUCTS

- Bauxite
- Gravel
- Kaolin
- Magnesite
- Olivine
- Sands
- Salt - deicing
- Soda ash

Load-Out Rates

DSH MODEL	Bushels Per Hour	Tons Per Hour (48 PCF)	Tons Per Hour (100 PCF)
DSH MINI	800 - 3,200	25 - 95	50-200
DSH 1	3,200-5,000	95 - 150	200 - 310
DSH 2	5,000 - 8,200	150 - 245	310 - 510
DSH 3	7,300 - 12,800	220 - 380	450 - 790
DSH 4	12,800 - 20,000	380 - 600	790 - 1,200
DSH 5	20,000 - 29,000	600 - 860	1,200 - 1,800
DSH 6	29,000 - 39,000	860 - 1,175	1,800 - 2,400
DSH 7	39,000 - 52,000	1,175 - 1,530	2,400 - 3,200
DSH 8	52,000 - 65,000	1,530 - 1,940	3,200 - 4,100
DSH 9	65,000 - 80,000	1,940 - 2,400	4,100 - 5,000

GUIDELINES ONLY

Case study # 1

- plant loads open-bed truck on an intermittent basis
- 2013 – sampled baseline conditions
- DSH and associated equipment then installed
- 2014 – sampled DSH on two occasions
- only fully loaded trucks were included in analysis



Sampling methodology

- quantify respirable dust generation; not exposures
- sampling packages located at four inside corners of bed
- gravimetric and instantaneous, light-scattering samplers
- samplers started just prior to loading and removed/stopped after each truck was loaded
- four locations combined to get average truck concentration




Sampling methodology

- short term sampling – 4 to 16 minutes to load a truck
- utilized one set of gravimetric filters per sampling day
- close proximity to loading resulted in elevated dust concentrations
- zeroed personal Data Ram (pDR) periodically




Data analysis

- pDR data adjusted with gravimetric/pDR ratio
 - ratio = (avg grav conc) ÷ pDR conc
 - ratio calculated for each sampling location for each day of sampling
- pDR data from 4 locations used to calculate average concentration for each truck loaded




Data analysis - baseline

- average loading time (alt) = 6.8 minutes
- truck loading times (tlt) varied from 3.8 to 14.2 minutes
- relationship between loading time and dust
- normalized dust concentrations = (tlt/alt) x truck concentration



Normalized dust levels from baseline sampling



DSH installation

- multiple product silos used to load trucks
- added bucket elevator to feed material to DSH
- all silos fed into bucket elevator system
- more consistent but slower feed rate when compared to loading during baseline



DSH installation and operation




DSH sampling

- **July survey**
 - 11 trucks sampled
 - 13.5 minute average loading time
 - 13.2 mg/m³ average
- **August survey**
 - 11 trucks sampled
 - 13.8 minute average loading time
 - 12.0 mg/m³ average



Dust levels from baseline and DSH sampling



Case study # 2


- open-top trucks intermittently loaded throughout the day
- baseline readings taken few days prior to installation
- DSH installation took ~1 day
- two trucks sampled prior to install
- two trucks sampled post install



Sampling methodology


- quantify fugitive dust generation; not exposures
- Single direct-reading monitor (pDR) used, hung ~ 24 inches from column and ~8 inches below rim of trailer
- sampler started just prior to loading, lowered and removed/stopped after each truck was loaded
- single location






Data analysis


Pre-installation: Truck 1



Pre-installation: Truck 2



Post-installation: Truck 3



Post-installation: Truck 4




Figure 5 - Independently graphed data measuring Total Dust generated during open top truck loading before and after the installation of a DSH Hopper at the Ottawa, MN Plant using a pDR-1000.



Conclusions

- DSH reduced respirable dust liberation by
 - 88% case study #1
- DSH reduced Total Dust
 - 98% case study #2
- DSH operating without major maintenance problems



Conclusions

- DSH reduced respirable dust liberation by
 - 88% case study #1
- DSH reduced Total Dust
 - 98% case study #2
- DSH operating without major maintenance problems



Costs

- Ottawa, MN
- ~\$20K for DSH
- The value of dust control that this technology potentially brings for the minerals industry speaks for itself.



Future Installations

- Utica, IL
- Marston, NC
- Oregon, IL
- Unimin and NIOSH hope to continue to evaluate the efficiency of the DSH systems with various field- installations.

Thank you!

Questions??

Jay Colinet
NIOSH
P.O. Box 18070
Pittsburgh, PA 15236

412-386-6825
jcolinet@cdc.gov

Jamie Robinson
Unimin Corporation
48 West Boscawen St.
Winchester, VA

540-678-1490
jrobinson@unimin.com

Disclaimer: The findings and conclusions in this presentation have not been formally disseminated by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health and should not be construed to represent any agency determination or policy. Mention of any commercial product does not imply endorsement.

www.cdc.gov/niosh/mining

